



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/748,829

12/29/2003

Weidong Yang

NAN066 US

7169

34036 7590 07/02/2008

Silicon Valley Patent Group LLP  
18805 Cox Avenue  
Suite 220  
Saratoga, CA 95070

EXAMINER

ROSARIO, DENNIS

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/02/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/748,829	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Dennis Rosario	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dennis Rosario, PTO personnel.

(3) Michael Halbert, Reg. No. 49,633.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 23 and 33.

Identification of prior art discussed: Miher et al. (US 2004/0169861 A1), Raymond (6,856,408), Nikoonahad et al. (7,009,704) and Stirton (6,458,605).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed applicant's fig. 6 of a local process variation that was unintentional and corresponding claim amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Matthew C. Bella/  
SPE, Art Unit 2624

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required